Field Continuous Measurement of Dissolved Gases with a CF-MIMS: Applications to the Physics and Biogeochemistry of Groundwater Flow

Eliot Chatton,^{*,†}[©] Thierry Labasque,[†] Jérôme de La Bernardie,[†] Nicolas Guihéneuf,^{†,‡} Olivier Bour,[†] and Luc Aquilina[†]

[†]OSUR-UMR6118 Géosciences Rennes, Université de Rennes 1 and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Rennes, France [‡]University of Guelph, 50 Stone Road East, Guelph, Ontario Canada

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: In the perspective of a temporal and spatial exploration of aquatic environments (surface and ground-water), we developed a technique for field continuous measurements of dissolved gases with a precision better than 1% for N₂, O₂, CO₂, He, Ar, 2% for Kr, 8% for Xe, and 3% for CH₄, N₂O and Ne. With a large resolution (from 1×10^{-9} to 1×10^{-2} ccSTP/g) and a capability of high frequency analysis (1 measure every 2 s), the CF-MIMS (Continuous Flow Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometer) is an innovative tool allowing the investigation of a large panel of hydrological and biogeochemical processes in aquatic systems. Based on the available MIMS technology, this study introduces the development of the CF-MIMS (conception for field experi-



ments, membrane choices, ionization) and an original calibration procedure allowing the quantification of mass spectral overlaps and temperature effects on membrane permeability. This study also presents two field applications of the CF-MIMS involving the well-logging of dissolved gases and the implementation of groundwater tracer tests with dissolved ⁴He. The results demonstrate the analytical capabilities of the CF-MIMS in the field. Therefore, the CF-MIMS is a valuable tool for the field characterization of biogeochemical reactivity, aquifer transport properties, groundwater recharge, groundwater residence time and aquifer-river exchanges from few hours to several weeks experiments.

■ INTRODUCTION

Dissolved gas concentrations in groundwater originate mainly from atmosphere-water equilibria existing at the water table during aquifer recharge. These equilibria are governed by physical laws allowing the calculation of dissolved gas equilibrium concentrations (solubilities) as a function of the gas partial pressure in the air (z_i) , the atmospheric pressure (P), the water temperature (T) and salinity (S).^{1–8} Therefore, the combined determination of any dissolved gas concentration unaltered from recharge equilibrium enables the reconstruction of recharge conditions (T, S, P) as long as the gas partial pressures at the time of recharge are known. Consequently, several studies have been using dissolved noble gases^{9–11} sometimes combined to dissolved nitrogen^{12,13} to derive past and present climatic conditions through the determination of noble gas temperatures (NGTs i.e. recharge temperatures), the extent of the excess air phenomenon (EA) and recharge elevations. Therefore, dissolved noble gases (and dissolved nitrogen) are valuable tools for the study of groundwater origins, paleoclimates and climate changes.

Contrary to other noble gases, dissolved ⁴He concentrations in groundwater can increase quite rapidly (over a hundred years) due to in situ production by radioactive decay of uranium and thorium rich minerals of aquifer rocks. As a result, dissolved radiogenic ⁴He accumulates over time in aquifers and its production creates an excess He that can be typically quantified for residence time larger than hundred years. The determination of dissolved radiogenic He concentrations enables the characterization of groundwater residence times as long as ⁴He production rates are known.^{14–17}

Dissolved reactive gases (N_{2} , CO_2 , O_2 , CH_4 , N_2O and H_2) originate initially from atmospheric equilibria. However, their concentrations in natural waters can be significantly altered by biogeochemical reactions that typically occur in aquatic environments.¹⁸ Therefore, the measurement of these reactive dissolved gases is critical to the understanding of the biogeochemical reactivity of aquatic environments.^{19–25}

All these applications need precise dissolved gas measurements with various requirements in terms of spatial and

Received:July 24, 2016Revised:December 4, 2016Accepted:December 12, 2016Published:December 12, 2016

temporal distribution in the field. Currently, most of the available analytical techniques are based on field sampling and laboratory analysis performed from a few hours or several days after sampling. Therefore, ensuring sample preservation and representativeness is crucial for the determination of accurate actual dissolved gas concentrations. For instance, groundwater sampling in wells is not an easy task due to the risk of sample contamination with atmospheric air, mixing processes naturally occurring in the well (natural flows) or induced by the sampling process (renewal of the well water, pumping, movement of mobile samplers) that would bias water samples.²⁶ Furthermore, preservation of dissolved gas samples is a crucial stake particularly for the measurement of dissolved reactive gases such as O_{2} , N_{2} , $N_{2}O$ and H_{2} in reactive environments.

In order to solve the issues related to sampling, field measurement techniques such as membrane inlet mass spectrometers (MIMS) received great attention from environmental scientists for their capacity of rapid evaluation of dissolved gases in water.^{27–32} MIMS technology was first developed for the measurement of organic compounds in environmental water samples.^{33,34} Later, Ketola, et al.³⁵ proposed a review of the applications and improvements of this technique. Kana et al.³⁶ optimized a MIMS for quasicontinuous determination of major dissolved gases (O₂, N₂, Ar) in water samples in order to study biological activity.^{37–40} MIMS systems were also miniaturized for oceanographic studies in order to create dissolved gas profiles in seawater.^{41–44}

Recently, developments of MIMS have been realized for groundwater studies. Mächler, et al.⁴⁵ developed a portable GE-MIMS for field and laboratory measurements. This stand-alone system allows the quasi-continuous monitoring of dissolved He, Ar, Kr, N₂, and O₂ every 12 min over several days. Similarly, Visser et al.⁴⁶ developed also their own portable NG-MIMS for quasi-continuous measurements of the whole suite of dissolved noble gases. However, the NG-MIMS remains sensitive to the sampling conditions as it requires the collection of water samples that are sequentially analyzed (every 5 min). Furthermore, the available field quasi-continuous techniques (GE-MIMS and NG-MIMS) do not allow so far the measurement of all major dissolved gases.

We propose here a new approach for the continuous field measurement of dissolved gases in aquatic environments with a CF-MIMS (Continuous Flow Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometer). Through this technique, natural waters (surface water or groundwater) are directly brought to a semipermeable membrane at a constant flow rate for the continuous measurement of dissolved gases. With an original calibration procedure, the CF-MIMS allows the continuous monitoring of dissolved noble and reactive gases (He, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe, N₂, CO₂, O₂, CH₄, and N₂O) over a large concentration range. After presenting the details of the analytical development, the capabilities of the CF-MIMS are evaluated through two field applications: two groundwater tracer tests with dissolved ⁴He and a well-logging of dissolved gases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

System Description. Many MIMS systems are currently available on the market for different applications. The design and conception of the CF-MIMS (HPR-40) was carried out by the private supplier Hiden Analytical. According to the specification requirements, the instrument dimensions (0.150 m³), weight (55 kg) and shielding (reinforced frame mounted

on Silent Bloc) make the CF-MIMS a mobile and resistant tool designed for field experiments.

Although its robustness, the CF-MIMS consists in a leadingedge analytical instrument for dissolved gas measurements. Equipped with a membrane inlet connected to the vacuum of a Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer (QMS around 10^{-5} Torr), the CF-MIMS shown in Figure 1 allow the direct permeation of



Figure 1. Representation of the CF-MIMS adapted from Hiden Analytical HPR40 manual.

dissolved gases from liquids to the mass spectrometer. Inside the QMS, dissolved gases are ionised using an oxide coated iridium filament allowing the selection of ionization energies (between 4 and 150 eV) and emission intensities (between 20 and 5000 μ A). Once ionised, dissolved gases are separated by the quadrupole according to their mass to charge ratios (m/zratios). Then, the detection of dissolved gases is performed either by a Faraday cup or a single channel electron multiplier (SCEM). Finally, the CF-MIMS allows a direct and continuous measurement of dissolved gases at the high frequency of 1 dissolved gas measurement every 2 s.

Optimisation Techniques. *Membrane.* The originality of MIMS systems lies in the inlet that uses a semipermeable membrane to measure directly the dissolved gases of the water. The choice of a suitable membrane is therefore essential to ensure the maximal permeation of the targeted dissolved gases. Laboratory experiments have been carried out at Hiden Analytical to select or create the best membrane for our purposes by comparing different types of membrane (PDMS, Biaxially oriented PET, X44 polymer). With an enhanced noble gas permeability and a suitable gas exchange surface (16 cm²), the membrane X44 has been selected for our CF-MIMS. This membrane inlet system (Figure 1) allows a continuous flow measurement of dissolved gases.

Water Vapor Entrapment. In order to enhance the sensitivity of MIMS systems to low abundant gases a water trap can be installed just between the membrane inlet and the mass spectrometer.⁴⁶ However, the water trap requires a long stabilization time to remove the totality of the water vapor from the signal and uses lots of electrical power that is hardly available in the field. Since this water entrapment did not significantly enhance signal/noise ratios in our CF-MIMS we chose not to use it.

lonization. Inside the mass spectrometer, optimization of ionization procedures can be performed to improve the signal/ noise ratio by optimization of the emission intensity (flow of

ionizing electrons) or, to a lower extent, by experimental determination of optimal ionization energies. In the CF-MIMS, the standard ionization procedure (250 μ A, 70 eV) has been optimized by increasing the emission intensity in order to enhance the sensitivity to low abundant gases such as Kr and Xe (see Supporting Information (SI)).

Calibration. In order to convert the partial pressures measured by the CF-MIMS into gas concentrations it is necessary to define a proper calibration procedure. In order to account for the different membrane interactions with dissolved gas concentrations, the system is directly calibrated on water flows of known concentration. For the purpose, a calibration chamber has been built in order to saturate a water volume with different standard gas mixtures of known composition while preventing from any atmospheric exchange (see SI). The Standard Gas Equilibrated Water (SGEW) is continuously brought from the calibration chamber to the membrane using a low voltage impeller pump allowing the monitoring of water saturation until equilibrium is reached.

Measured partial pressures for each gas can be converted into gas concentrations using either an internal or external calibration procedure. The internal calibration consists in the calculation of dissolved gas equilibrium concentrations of different SGEWs using the laws of gas solubility in water.^{1–8} The parameters required for the calculation of equilibrium concentrations such as air pressure, water temperature and salinity are continuously monitored in the calibration chamber. In addition, the calibration chamber includes an outlet valve allowing an external calibration by sampling each SGEW for control analysis using classical gas-chromatography techniques (GC-TCD or GC–MS).

The calibration procedure is performed after connecting the membrane inlet to the mass spectrometer and should be implemented when the partial pressure baselines are stable (requiring almost 2 h to establish an equilibrium between the permeation of gases through the membrane and the mass spectrometer vacuum). Calibration temporal stability has been investigated through a laboratory test that did not indicate any sign of instrumental drift over the 38 h experiment. Therefore, when the calibration is achieved and as long as the membrane inlet remains connected, the CF-MIMS does not require any additional calibration. Nevertheless, regular sampling (for analysis with classical techniques) always ensures the validity of the calibration for long-term monitoring experiments.

The CF-MIMS calibration is performed at the same flow and temperature as the measurements because membrane permeability to dissolved gases depends on water temperature and flow. Water flow is controlled by an impeller/submersible pump serving the membrane (Q: 4L/min). Calibrations and measurements are performed in a mobile laboratory conditioned at a controlled temperature close to the temperature of the monitored water. However, when natural waters (in a river or in a borehole) show temperature variations while monitoring, the signals measured with the CF-MIMS must be corrected from temperature effects on the membrane permeability.

Temperature Sensitivity. Laboratory experiments have been carried out to determine the influence of the water temperature on the permeability of the membrane X44. The results (see SI) show that the membrane permeability to gases increases substantially with temperature. Small temperature variations $(\Delta T \pm 2 \text{ °C})$ can modify the signal acquired at 17 °C from 2.5% to 11.0% depending on the gas considered (He is less

sensitive than H₂O to temperature variations). However, the fitted curves allow the calculation of effective permeability coefficients β_T^i of the gas *i* at the temperature T of measurement expressed in eq 1 as the ratio of the partial pressure of the gas *i* at the temperature T on the partial pressure of the same gas *i* at the temperature of calibration (T_{calib}).

$$\beta_T^i = \frac{P_T^i}{P_{T\text{calib}}^i} \tag{1}$$

The effective permeability coefficients are used when postprocessing the data to correct temperature effects on the membrane permeability for the different gases measured with the CF-MIMS.

Spectral Overlaps. In MIMS systems, the simplest case consists in measuring dissolved gases directly at their corresponding m/z. For instance, the partial pressures of He, Ar, Kr, Xe, and O₂ can be respectively associated with the m/z at 4, 40, 84, 132, and 32. However, in mass spectrometry, spectral overlaps are issues frequently encountered.

The objective of the CF-MIMS is to measure the full suite of noble gases (He, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe) as well as the most common dissolved gases (N₂, O₂, CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O). These dissolved species coexist in natural waters and unfortunately some of them occur at m/z ratio overlapping with other gases as shown in Table 1. Most of the time, the overlapping gases are also among the targeted ones which inhibits the use of traps and getters⁴⁶ to remove the overlapping N₂, CO₂, CH₄, N₂O and Ar.

Table 1. Spectral overlaps occurring at measured mass-tocharge ratios

m/z	targeted gas	main overlap	second overlap
12	CO ₂	CH_4	
14	N_2	N ₂ O	
15	CH ₄	N_2	
20	Ne	Ar	H_2O
22	Ne	CO_2	N_2O
28	N_2	N ₂ O	CO ₂
44	$\rm CO_2/N_2O$		

Without traps and getters, the other way to remove overlapping species at a particular m/z ratio is to optimize ionization energies. For instance, laboratory tests have been carried out to distinguish Ne signals from $^{20}(Ar_{(II)})$ and $^{20}(H_2O)$ or from $^{22}(CO_{2(II)})$ and $^{22}(N_2O_{(II)})$ using lower ionization energies to avoid the second ionization of overlapping gases at m/z 20 and 22 respectively. Although ^{20}Ne and $^{20}(Ar_{(II)})$ could be separated from $^{20}(H_2O)$, the attempts to fully discriminate (with ionization energies) Ne signals from $^{20}(Ar_{(II)})$, $^{22}(CO_{2(II)})$ or $^{22}(N_2O_{(II)})$ were unsuccessful despite the information reported in other works on similar QMS systems.^{47,48}

Therefore, we choose to overcome the issue of spectral overlaps by calibrating the CF-MIMS with a variety of suitable standard gases allowing the quantification of these overlaps. The idea here is to quantify the distribution of a given gas on the different m/z ratios of interest. For instance, CO₂ is found at m/z 12, 22, 28, and 44 (Table 1) and its distribution over each of these m/z ratios can be measured and expressed as polynomials (see Supporting Information). This is performed by monitoring the partial pressures at these m/z ratios when

saturating a volume of water in the calibration chamber with pure CO₂ and desaturating it with another pure gas (N₂, Ar, He, etc.). The same rationale applies for N₂ at m/z 14, 15, 28 and for Ar at m/z 20 and 40. The polynomials linking the partial pressures P_k^i and P_l^i of the gas *i* measured respectively at m/z k and *l* are expressed in eq 2:

$$P_{k}^{i} = f(P_{l}^{i}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha_{n} (P_{l}^{i})^{n}$$
(2)

Once fitted to the data, the resulting polynomials allow the determination of relationships between each m/z ratios due to each gas (CO₂, N₂ and Ar) over a large concentration range. As a result, any significant partial pressure change away from the fitted polynomials indicates an overlap attributable either to Ne, N₂O or CH₄ depending on the considered m/z. In this case, the partial pressure (P_k^i) attributed to the overlapping gas j can be calculated as the difference between the total partial pressure (P_k) measured at m/z k and the partial pressure (P_k^i) of the gas i at m/z k as expressed in eq 3:

$$P_{k}^{j} = P_{k} - P_{k}^{i} = P_{k} - \sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha_{n} (P_{l}^{i})^{n}$$
(3)

This method relies on multiple measurements at different m/z ratios of the same targeted gas to guarantee a better precision (the gas is measured twice or thrice) and a better confidence respect to overlaps (the different m/z ratios of a gas usually do not undergo the same overlap).

After calibration and measurement, the data files provided by the CF-MIMS are postprocessed using a data processing program implemented in Matlab that calculates the partial pressure $P_{m/z}^{i}$ of the gas i at m/z using the spectral overlap polynomials and the effective permeability coefficients β_T following eq 4:

$$P_{m/z}^{i} = \beta_{T}^{i} * [P_{m/z} - \sum_{j} (\beta_{T}^{j} \times \sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha_{n} (P_{m/z}^{j})^{n})]$$
(4)

Dissolved gases undergoing overlaps are hence calculated as the difference between the measured partial pressure at a given m/z and the sum of partial pressures produced by the overlapping species *j* weighted by their effective permeability coefficients (β_T^i). Therefore, it is essential to properly assess the different overlap polynomials.

Finally, the data processing program calculates and compiles the partial pressures of each gas free from overlaps, determines internal or external calibration coefficients for each gas and provides the dissolved gas concentrations that are delivered as a spreadsheet.

Analytical Performances. For each dissolved gas, laboratory experiments have been carried out to evaluate the analytical precisions of the CF-MIMS (expressed as the relative standard deviation, RSD) and the detection limits (DL) for reactive (N_2 , O_2 , CO_2 , CH_4 , N_2O) and noble (He, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe) gases. Table 2 shows the details of the analytical performances of the CF-MIMS measured from three series of monitoring (2 h) of an AEW kept at a constant temperature (17 °C). The analytical frequency of the instrument allows the measurement of a m/z ratio every two seconds (the measurement of gas can require more than one m/z measurement). Therefore, a measurement cycle of the 10 gases listed in the Table 2 takes about 26 s.

Table 2. Experimental Assessment of the CF-MIMS Gas
Measurement Precisions (RSD) and Estimated Detection
Limits $(DL)^a$

gas	RSD (%)	DL ($\times 10^{-9} \text{ ccSTP/g}$)
N_2	0.3	750
O ₂	0.3	2
CO ₂	0.3	2000
CH_4	2.0	800*
N ₂ O	0.3	900*
He	1.0	0.01
Ne	2.5	6*
Ar	0.3	200
Kr	1.2	0.5
Xe	7.5	4

^{*a*}Asterisks refer to DL estimations performed on the basis of 3 × RSD of the most abundant gas at the measured m/z for typical concentrations obtained with an AEW (CO₂ = $4.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ccSTP/g}$; N₂ = $1.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ ccSTP/g}$).

As Ne, CH₄, and N₂O are measured at overlapped m/z ratios, their detection limits depend also on the analytical uncertainty of the main overlapping gas at these m/z ratios (respectively ²²(CO₂), ¹⁵(N₂) and ⁴⁴(CO₂)). Table 2 displays an example of the detection limits calculated for Ne, CH₄ and N₂O for an air equilibrated water ($[CO_2]_{atm} = 0.04\%$ and $[N_2]_{atm} = 78.08\%$) expressed as three RSD of the main overlapping gas. As a result, higher levels of N₂ or CO₂ would increase proportionally the detection limits of Ne, CH₄, and N₂O.

FIELD APPLICATIONS

Groundwater Tracer Test with Dissolved ⁴**He.** In hydrogeology, groundwater introduced tracer tests are usually performed with salts or fluorescent dyes in order to derive the transport properties of aquifers.^{49–51} Although little used so far in tracer tests, dissolved noble gases are inert tracers of the physical processes governing conservative transport in aquifers such as advection, dispersion, and diffusion.^{52–55} Where classical tracers may possibly entail flaws such as toxicity, sorption, degradation, or density effects, ^{56–58} dissolved noble gases can represent a valuable alternative especially when implementing tracer tests in drinking water supply areas.⁵⁹ As a result, we decided to evaluate the potential of the CF-MIMS to perform continuous and field measurements through a groundwater tracer test using dissolved ⁴He.

The groundwater tracer test was carried out in a fractured aquifer located at the experimental site of Ploemeur (H+ observatory network, Brittany, France) at the contact zone between a granitic formation and the overlying micaschist.⁶⁰ This vertical tracer test was performed in a single well (B3) and consisted in the combined pulse injection of dissolved ⁴He (60 L of He saturated water) and a fluorescent dye called amino-G acid (7-amino-1,3-naphthalenedisulfonic acid) in a fracture crossing the borehole at 44.9m (B3.2) while pumping in an hydraulically connected fractured zone (B3.1) found in the same well at 34.0m. Tracer path was constrained into the fracture network using low flow injections (6 L/min) below a single packer placed in the borehole between the two connected fractures.

Figure 2 shows the ⁴He and amino-G acid (AG) breakthrough curves produced respectively by the CF-MIMS and the fluorimeter over two tracer tests performed with two pumping



Figure 2. ⁴He and AG acid breakthrough curves obtained with two different pumping conditions (1.4 and 5.9 m³/h). The transfer time distribution curves (s⁻¹) refer to the tracer mass flux (g/s) divided by the recovered mass of tracer (g).

rates (1.4 and 5.9 m³/h). For these short tracer tests, ⁴He and AG show similar breakthrough curves (although ⁴He systematically peaks late) as well as similar fitted transport parameters (see SI) using a semianalytical solution developed by Becker and Charbeneau.⁴⁹ For longer tracer tests, the differences between the two breakthrough curves are expected to increase with time due to a higher He diffusion.

This groundwater tracer tests show that the CF-MIMS is able to measure dissolved gas concentrations in situ over experiments of several hours and to record large concentration gradients with high temporal resolution. The CF-MIMS measurements are directly validated by control sampling performed during the two tracer tests and indirectly by AG breakthrough curves produced by the fluorimeter.

Well-Logging of Dissolved Gases. Classically, a first order characterization of groundwater geochemistry is achieved

using a multiparameter probe allowing the vertical measurement of the field parameters in wells (temperature, electrical conductivity, pH, redox potential, and dissolved oxygen). More detailed investigations require additional measurements that are usually performed through the sampling of targeted dissolved species (gases, ions, stable isotopes, etc.). The results of such geochemical characterization are hardly available on the field and rely inherently on substantial uncertainties associated with sampling (representativeness, contamination, and preservation of the sample). Besides, due to practical constrains the exhaustiveness (number of measured parameters, spatial, and temporal distribution) of classical geochemical characterization is often limited in view of the variety and variability of biogeochemical processes in time and space.

In order to test the method developed here, we have investigated the potential of performing a well-log of dissolved gases with the CF-MIMS in a borehole (Pz6) drilled in a schist bedrock and located nearby our laboratory in Rennes (H+ observatory, Brittany, France). The transportation and power supply of the CF-MIMS has been made possible thanks to the mobile laboratory arranged in an all-terrain truck (CRITEX Lab). This simple experiment consisted in coupling a multiparameter probe (OTT Hydrolab) to the well-pump (Grundfos MP1) serving the CF-MIMS in order to measure the evolution with depth of dissolved gases concentrations (He, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe, N₂, O₂, CO₂, CH₄), temperature (T), pH, electrical conductivity (σ), and redox potential (Eh). The pumping rate (3 L/min) and the logging velocity (2.5 m/min) have been adjusted to the borehole diameter, the number of measured dissolved gases and hence to the measurement frequency of the CF-MIMS (12 m/z every 24 s for 1 measure every meter).

The Figure 3 shows the profiles that were obtained at Pz6 for the field parameters (Figure 3a), the reactive dissolved gases (Figure 3b) and the dissolved noble gases (Figure 3c). This well-log consists in two contrasted groundwater bodies and two transition zones: (80-60m) high pH and conductivity, high



Figure 3. Well-logs performed at Pz6 for (a) water temperature (T), electrical conductivity (σ), pH and redox potential (Eh); (b) reactive dissolved gases (N₂, O₂, CO₂, CO₂, CH₄); (c) dissolved noble gases (He, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe). The large dots in (b) and (c) refer to samples collected at 17 m and 80 m. Continuous lines refer to a spline fit of the data points shown as small dots in the figure.

Table 3. Comparison of CF-MIMS Measurements	s with Measurements of Dissolved Gases in Pz6 Conducted Eithe	r (*) at the
University of Rennes by Gas-Chromatography ^{61,62}	⁵² or (#) in Situ by Mass-Spectrometry with a NG-MIMS. ⁴⁶	

depth (m)	gas*#	sample (ccSTP/g)	sample RSD (%)	CF-MIMS (ccSTP/g)	CF-MIMS RSD (%)	relative difference (%)
17	N_2^*	1.76×10^{-2}	3.0	1.75×10^{-2}	0.3	0.5
	O2*	6.48×10^{-4}	3.0	6.21×10^{-4}	1.3	3.0
	CO_2^*	2.85×10^{-2}	3.0	2.84×10^{-2}	0.3	0.5
	CH_4*	4.11×10^{-7}	15.0	5.29×10^{-7}	3.0	17.5
	He*	7.75×10^{-7}	3.0	1.19×10^{-6}	3.5	1.0
	Ne*	1.96×10^{-7}	10.0	2.11×10^{-7}	5.0	5.5
	Ar*	3.73×10^{-4}	2.5	3.71×10^{-4}	0.1	0.5
80	N_2^*	2.32×10^{-2}	3.0	2.33×10^{-2}	0.1	0.5
	O2*	1.90×10^{-4}	10.0	1.77×10^{-4}	0.6	5.0
	CO_2^*	5.09×10^{-3}	10.0	5.24×10^{-3}	2.1	2.0
	CH_4*	5.59×10^{-6}	5.0	5.05×10^{-6}	0.7	7.0
	He [#]	5.88×10^{-5}	2.0	5.82×10^{-5}	1.3	3.0
	Ne [#]	3.13×10^{-7}	6.5	3.04×10^{-7}	4.8	2.0
	Ar [#]	4.87×10^{-4}	2.0	4.83×10^{-4}	0.1	0.5
	Kr [#]	1.12×10^{-7}	2.0	1.12×10^{-7}	0.7	0.5
	Xe [#]	1.66×10^{-8}	6.5	1.66×10^{-8}	5.2	0.5

reactive and noble gas concentrations except CO_2 and low Eh and O_2 ; (60–55m) a mixing zone where almost all the parameters change; (55–30m) lower pH, conductivity and dissolved gas concentrations except CO_2 that increases, low O_2 and higher Eh. The shallowest part of the well (30–12m) shows a transition toward an atmospheric dissolved gas composition.

During the well-logging experiment discrete samples were collected and analyzed using accepted analytical protocols.^{46,61,62} A comparison of these analyses with the CF-MIMS measurements is shown in Table 3. Both measurements agree for all dissolved gases analyzed within the error of the respective methods.

The field measurement of dissolved noble gas concentrations allow to derive profiles of groundwater recharge conditions⁶³ and the amount of nonatmospheric ⁴He produced by radiogenic U and Th of the rocks. With no mantle He and using a production rate of 4×10^{-9} ccSTP/g/yr for ⁴He calibrated on ¹⁴C ages,⁶⁴ the groundwater residence time can be assessed for regional groundwater circulations using ⁴He dating.¹⁷ Figure 4 shows the field determination of the distribution with depth of noble gas temperatures (NGTs i.e. recharge temperatures), amounts of excess air (commonly expressed as the $\Delta Ne = ((CNe_{meas}/CNe_{eq}) - 1) \times 100)$ and ⁴He ages.

Similarly to the previous profiles, the Figure 4 shows three groundwater layers: (80–60m) low NGTs (\approx 5 °C) associated with large Δ Ne (\approx 50%) and ⁴He ages (\approx 14 000 yr BP); (60–55m) mixing zone; (55–12m) NGTs around 11 °C associated with smaller Δ Ne (\approx 10%) and ⁴He ages (\approx 500 yr BP). The shallowest part of this layer (30–12m) shows decreasing Δ Ne (0% in average) and ⁴He ages (\approx 200 yr BP) toward the water table.

This field experiment illustrates the usefulness of field measurements of dissolved gases for the characterization of groundwater chemistry and the determination of groundwater origins. The field continuous measurements performed with the CF-MIMS make available a quantity of valuable distributed information so far inaccessible during field works.



Figure 4. Profile of noble gas temperature (NGT), ΔNe , and ⁴He age distribution with depth.

DISCUSSION

Calibration. Precise dissolved gas measurements with a CF-MIMS can only be achieved with a proper calibration procedure which is not so trivially accomplished because it is performed on SGEWs (a precise determination of their composition is essential) with a water flow and temperature dependent membrane permeability (a precise determination of these effects is also necessary). In addition, calibration of Ne, CH_4 , and N_2O require a special care (use of additional SGEWs) in order to overcome spectral overlap issues.

When the calibration procedure is properly achieved and as long as the membrane inlet remains connected to the mass spectrometer, the CF-MIMS allow a continuous monitoring of dissolved gases over relatively long experiments (days or weeks) without additional calibration (standard bracketing). The latter would alter the benefits of the continuous measurements since the CF-MIMS measurements require as much stability as possible. For long monitoring experiments we thus recommend a regular sampling to ensure the validity of the calibration. So far, biogeochemical clogging of the membrane has not been observed which might be explained by the nature of the membrane X44.

Capabilities of the CF-MIMS. First, the dissolved gas tracer tests demonstrate the ability of the CF-MIMS to perform field dissolved gas measurements accurate enough to produce appropriate breakthrough curves during groundwater tracer tests. This experiment exhibits the instrument capabilities for high-frequency measurements and its rapidity to quantify large concentration gradients. The CF-MIMS offer new perspectives for groundwater tracer tests enabling the use of new tracers (noble and reactive gases) and allowing a combined injection of several tracers of different nature (salts, fluorescent tracers, dissolved gases, etc.).

As for tracer tests, the well-logging experiment demonstrates the ability of the CF-MIMS to work at high-frequency in situ and provide accurate environmental measurements allowing in this case the determination of groundwater recharge conditions, groundwater residence time, and redox conditions profiles. These field continuous measurements do not only solve the problems associated with sampling (representativeness, contamination or preservation of samples) but also, taken as a whole, improve the quality of the information carried by each value of the data set (enhanced representativeness with distributed measurements and enhanced precision with several replicates). Therefore, the CF-MIMS gives access to spatially and temporally distributed data of great significance that represents an important breakthrough for environmental measurements.

In addition, the CF-MIMS ability to produce field continuous measurements allows the scientist to visualize and interpret the data directly on site allowing a better definition of field experiment strategies and a higher flexibility when implementing them. Finally, the rationale behind the CF-MIMS technology consists in bringing back environmental scientists on the field to get a much more thorough understanding of the site structure and properties all along the experiments.

Potential Applications. Once installed in the mobile laboratory, the CF-MIMS has the required mobility to perform in situ measurements in a large diversity of accessible areas (boreholes, rivers, vadose zone, sea or lake shores, wetlands). In these sites, the high-frequency and multitracer measurements offered by this instrument allow the observation of a variety of stable to highly transitory physical, chemical or biological phenomena modifying dissolved gases concentrations (water flow, mixing, degassing, biochemical consumption/production of one or more gas(es)). Therefore, the CF-MIMS is a valuable tool for the field characterization of biogeochemical reactivity in aquatic systems, aquifer and river transport properties, groundwater recharge conditions, groundwater residence time (⁴He, ⁴⁰Ar) and aquifer—river exchanges.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.6b03706.

Measurement settings, Instrumental drift, Temperature Sensitivity, Calibration standard gases, Overlap extents, Tracer test details, Part List (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone: (+33) 223 233 725; e-mail: eliot.chatton@gmail.com. ORCID [©]

Eliot Chatton: 0000-0002-8234-1479

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was funded by the CRITEX program (ANR-11-EQPX-0011). The ANR projects CRITEX and Stock-en-Socle also coprovided financial support to fund the Ph.D. of E.C. We thank Hiden Analytical especially Thomas Gaudy and Peter Hatton for the high quality of their customer service. We are also grateful to Christophe Petton and Nicolas Lavenant for their technical help in the conception and building of the calibration chamber. MicroGC dissolved gas analysis were performed within the CONDATE-EAU analytical platform. We also thank Ate Visser for allowing us to use his data of the G-DAT 2012. Finally, we thank the two anonymous reviewers for their careful revision of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

(1) Clever, H. L. Helium and Neon - Gas Solubilities. Solubility data Ser. 1979, 1, 393.

(2) Clever, H. L. Krypton, Xenon and Radon - Gas-Solubilities. Solubility data Ser. 1979, 2, 357.

(3) Clever, H. L. Argon. Solubility data Ser. 1980, 4, 331.

(4) Smith, S. P.; Kennedy, B. M. The solubility of noble gases in water and in NaCl brine. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 1983, 41 (1), 503–515.

(5) Weiss, R. F. The solubility of nirogen, oxygen and argon in water and seawater. *Deep-Sea Res. Oceanogr. Abstr.* **1970**, *17*, 721–735.

(6) Weiss, R. F. Carbon dioxide in water and seawater: the solubility of a non-ideal gas. *Mar. Chem.* **1974**, *2*, 203–215.

(7) Weiss, R. F.; Price, B. A. Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater. *Mar. Chem.* **1980**, *8*, 347–359.

(8) Wiesenburg, D. A.; Guinasso, N. L. Equilibrium solubilities of methane, carbon monoxide, and hydrogen in water and sea water. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1979**, *24* (4), 356–360.

(9) Aeschbach-Hertig, W.; Peeters, F.; Beyerle, U.; Kipfer, R. Interpretation of dissolved atmospheric noble gases in natural waters. *Water Resour. Res.* **1999**, *35* (9), 2779–2792.

(10) Aquilina, L.; Vergnaud-Ayraud, V.; Armandine Les Landes, A.; Pauwels, H.; Davy, P.; Petelet-Giraud, E.; Labasque, T.; Roques, C.; Chatton, E.; Bour, O.; Ben Maamar, S.; Dufresne, A.; Khaska, M.; Le Gal La Salle, C.; Barbecot, F. Impact of climate changes during the last 5 million years on groundwater in basement aquifers. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 14132.

(11) Stute, M.; Schlosser, P. Principles and applications of the noble gas paleothermometer. In *Geophys. Monogr.*, **1993**, 78.8910.1029/GM078p0089

(12) Chatton, E.; Aquilina, L.; Petelet-Giraud, E.; Cary, L.; Bertrand, G.; Labasque, T.; Hirata, R.; Martins, V.; Montenegro, S.; Vergnaud, V.; Aurouet, A.; Kloppmann, W.; Pauwels, H. Glacial recharge,

salinization and anthropogenic contamination in the coastal aquifers of Recife (Brazil). *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *569–570*, 1114–1125.

(13) Plummer, L. N.; Eggleston, J. R.; Andreasen, D. C.; Raffensperger, J. P.; Hunt, A. G.; Casile, G. C. Old groundwater in parts of the upper Patapsco aquifer, Atlantic Coastal Plain, Maryland, USA: evidence from radiocarbon, chlorine-36 and helium-4. *Hydrogeol. J.* **2012**, *20* (7), 1269–1294.

(14) Andrews, J. N.; Davis, S. N.; Fabryka-Martin, J.; Fontes, J. C.; Lehman, B. E.; Loosli, H. H.; Michelot, J. L.; Moser, H.; Smith, B.; Wolf, M. The in situ production of radioisotopes in rock matrices with particular reference to the Stripa granite. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1989**, *53*, 1803–1815.

(15) Méjean, P.; Pinti, D. L.; Larocque, M.; Ghaleb, B.; Meyzonnat, G.; Gagné, S. Processes controlling 234U and 238U isotope fractionation and helium in the groundwater of the St. Lawrence Lowlands, Quebec: The potential role of natural rock fracturing. *Appl. Geochem.* **2016**, *66*, 198–209.

(16) Solomon, D. K.; Hunt, A. G.; Poreda, R. J. Source of radiogenic helium 4 in shallow aquifers: Implications for dating young groundwater. *Water Resour. Res.* **1996**, 32 (6), 1805–1813.

(17) Wei, W.; Aeschbach-hertig, W.; Chen, Z. Identification of He sources and estimation of He ages in groundwater of the North China Plain. *Appl. Geochem.* **2015**, *63*, 182–189.

(18) Boisson, A.; De Anna, P.; Bour, O.; Le Borgne, T.; Labasque, T.; Aquilina, L. Reaction chain modeling of denitrification reactions during a push-pull test. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **2013**, *148*, 1–11.

(19) Abbott, B. W.; Jones, J. B.; Godsey, S. E.; Larouche, J. R.; Bowden, W. B. Patterns and persistence of hydrologic carbon and nutrient export from collapsing upland permafrost. *Biogeosciences* **2015**, *12* (12), 3725–3740.

(20) Beaulieu, J. J.; Tank, J. L.; Hamilton, S. K.; Wollheim, W. M.; Hall, R. O.; Mulholland, P. J.; Peterson, B. J.; Ashkenas, L. R.; Cooper, L. W.; Dahm, C. N.; Dodds, W. K.; Grimm, N. B.; Johnson, S. L.; McDowell, W. H.; Poole, G. C.; Maurice Valett, H.; Arango, C. P.; Bernot, M. J.; Burgin, A. J.; Crenshaw, C. L.; Helton, A. M.; Johnson, L. T.; O'Brien, J. M.; Potter, J. D.; Sheibley, R. W.; Sobota, D. J.; Thomas, S. M. Nitrous oxide emission from denitrification in stream and river networks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2011**, *108* (1), 214– 219.

(21) Gardner, J. R.; Fisher, T. R.; Jordan, T. E.; Knee, K. L. Balancing watershed nitrogen budgets: accounting for biogenic gases in streams. *Biogeochemistry* **2016**, *127* (2–3), 231–253.

(22) Kling, G. W.; Kipphut, G. W.; Miller, M. C. Arctic Lakes and Streams as Gas Conduits to the Atmosphere: Implications for the Tundra Carbon Budgets. *Science* **1991**, *251* (4991), 298–301.

(23) Mächler, L.; Brennwald, M. S.; Tyroller, L.; Livingstone, D. M.; Kipfer, R. Conquering the outdoors with on-site mass spectrometry. *Chimia* **2014**, *68* (3), 155–159.

(24) Peter, S.; Mächler, L.; Kipfer, R.; Wehrli, B.; Durisch-Kaiser, E. Flood-Controlled Excess-Air Formation Favors Aerobic Respiration and Limits Denitrification Activity in Riparian Groundwater. *Front. Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *3*, 75.

(25) Riley, A. J.; Dodds, W. K. Whole-stream metabolism: strategies for measuring and modeling diel trends of dissolved oxygen. *Freshw. Sci.* **2013**, 32 (1), 56–69.

(26) Reilly, T. E.; LeBlanc, D. R. Experimental Evaluation of Factors Affecting Temporal Variability of Water Samples Obtained from Long-Screened Wells. *Groundwater* **1998**, *36* (4), 566–576.

(27) Cowie, G.; Lloyd, D. Membrane inlet ion trap mass spectrometry for the direct measurement of dissolved gases in ecological samples. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **1999**, 35 (1), 1-12.

(28) Kotiaho, T. On-site environmental and in situ process analysis by mass spectrometry. J. Mass Spectrom. **1996**, 31 (1), 1–15.

(29) Lloyd, D.; Scott, R. I. Direct measurement of dissolved gases in microbiological systems using membrane inlet mass spectrometry. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **1983**, *1* (6), 313–328.

(30) Takahata, N.; Igarashi, G.; Sano, Y. Continuous monitoring of dissolved gas concentrations in groundwater using quadrupole mass spectrometer. *Appl. Geochem.* **1997**, *12* (4), 377–382.

(31) Virkki, V. T.; Ketola, R. A.; Ojala, M.; Kotiaho, T.; Komppa, V.; Grove, A.; Facchetti, S. On-Site Environmental Analysis by Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67* (8), 1421–1425.

(32) White, A. J.; Blamire, M. G.; Corlett, C. A.; Griffiths, B. W.; Martin, D. M.; Spencer, S. B.; Mullock, S. J. Development of a portable time-of-flight mebrane inlet mass spectrometer for environmental analysis. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **1998**, *69*, 565.

(33) Hemond, H. F. A backpack-portable mass spectrometer for measurement of volatile compounds in the environment. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **1991**, *62*, 1420.

(34) Lauritsen, F. R.; Bohatka, S.; Degn, H. A Membrane-inlet Tandem Mass Spectrometer for Continuous Monitoring of Volatile Organic Compounds. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1990**, *4* (10), 401–403.

(35) Ketola, R. A.; Kotiaho, T.; Cisper, M. E.; Allen, T. M. Environmental applications of membrane introduction mass spectrometry. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **2002**, *37* (5), 457–476.

(36) Kana, T. M.; Darkangelo, C.; Hunt, M. D.; Oldham, J. B.; Bennett, G. E.; Cornwell, J. C. Membrane inlet mass spectrometer for rapid high-precision determination of N2, O2, and Ar in environmental water samples. *Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *66* (23), 4166–4170.

(37) An, S.; Gardner, W. S.; Kana, T. M. Simultaneous Measurement of Denitrification and Nitrogen Fixation Using Isotope Pairing with Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometry Analysis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2001**, *67* (3), 1171–1178.

(38) Bohatka, S.; Futo, I.; Gal, I.; Gal, J.; Langer, G.; Molnar, J.; Paal, A.; Pinter, G.; Simon, M.; Szadai, J.; Szekely, G. Quadrupole mass spectrometer system for fermentation monitoring. *Vacuum* **1993**, *44* (5–7), 669–671.

(39) Degn, H. Membrane inlet mass spectrometry in pure and applied microbiology. J. Microbiol. Methods **1992**, 15 (3), 185–197.

(40) Eschenbach, W.; Well, R. Online measurement of denitrification rates in aquifer samples by an approach coupling an automated sampling and calibration unit to a membrane inlet mass spectrometry system. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **2011**, *25* (14), 1993–2006.

(41) Bell, R. J.; Short, R. T.; Van Amerom, F. H. W.; Byrne, R. H. Calibration of an in situ membrane inlet mass spectrometer for measurements of dissolved gases and volatile organics in seawater. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41* (23), 8123–8128.

(42) Gentz, T.; Schlüter, M. Underwater cryotrap-membrane inlet system (CT-MIS) for improved in situ analysis of gases. *Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods* **2012**, *10*, 317–328.

(43) Short, R. T.; Fries, D. D.; Kerr, M. L.; Lembke, C. E.; Toler, S. K.; Wenner, P. G.; Byrne, R. H. Underwater mass spectrometers for insitu chemical analysis of the hydrosphere. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **2001**, *12* (1), 676–682.

(44) Tortell, P. D. Dissolved gas measurements in oceanic waters made by membrane inlet mass spectrometry. *Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods* **2005**, *3*, 24–37.

(45) Mächler, L.; Brennwald, M. S.; Kipfer, R. Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometer for the Quasi-Continuous On-Site Analysis of Dissolved Gases in Groundwater. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 8288–8296.

(46) Visser, A.; Singleton, M. J.; Hillegonds, D. J.; Velsko, C. A.; Moran, J. E.; Esser, B. K. A membrane inlet mass spectrometry system for noble gases at natural abundances in gas and water samples. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **2013**, *27*, 2472–2482.

(47) Hamme, R. C.; Emerson, S. R. Measurement of dissolved neon by isotope dilution using a quadrupole mass spectrometer. *Mar. Chem.* **2004**, *91*, 53–64.

(48) Manning, C. C.; Stanley, R. H. R.; Lott, D. E. Continuous Measurements of Dissolved Ne, Ar, Kr, and Xe Ratios with a Field-Deployable Gas Equilibration Mass Spectrometer. *Anal. Chem.* **2016**, *88* (6), 3040–3048.

(49) Becker, M. W.; Charbeneau, R. J. First-passage-time transfer functions for groundwater tracer tests conducted in radially convergent flow. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **2000**, 40 (4), 299–310.

(50) Becker, M. W.; Shapiro, A. M. Tracer transport in fractured crystalline rock: Evidence of nondiffusive breakthrough tailing. *Water Resour. Res.* **2000**, *36* (7), 1677–1686.

(51) Kang, P.; Le Borgne, T.; Dentz, M.; Bour, O.; Juanes, R. Impact of velocity correlation and distribution on transport in fractured media: Field evidence and theoretical model. *Water Resour. Res.* **2015**, *51* (2), 940–959.

(52) Gupta, S. K.; Stephen Lau, L.; Moravcik, P. S. Ground-Water Tracing with Injected Helium. *Groundwater* **1994**, 32 (1), 96–102.

(53) Gupta, S. K.; Moravcik, P. S.; Stephen Lau, L. Use of Injected Helium as a Hydrological Tracer. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* **1994**, 39 (2), 109–119.

(54) Sanford, W. E.; Shropshire, R. G.; Solomon, D. K. Dissolved gas tracers in groundwater: Simplified injection, sampling, and analysis. *Water Resour. Res.* **1996**, 32 (6), 1635–1642.

(55) Visser, A.; Singleton, M. J.; Esser, B. K. Xenon Tracer Test at Woodland Aquifer Storage and Recovery Well. *Lawrence Livermore Natl. Lab. Rep.* **2014**, DOI: 10.2172/1162248.

(56) Laidlaw, I. M. S.; Smart, P. L. An Evaluation of Some Fluorescent Dyes for Water Tracing. *Water Resour. Res.* **1977**, *13* (1), 15–33.

(57) Magal, E.; Weisbrod, N.; Yakirevich, A.; Yechieli, Y. The use of fluorescent dyes as tracers in highly saline groundwater. *J. Hydrol.* **2008**, 358, 124–133.

(58) Shakas, A.; Linde, N.; Baron, L.; Bochet, O.; Bour, O.; Le Borgne, T. Hydrogeophysical characterization of transport processes in fractured rock by combining push-pull and single-hole ground penetrating radar experiments. *Water Resour. Res.* **2016**, *52* (2), 938–953.

(59) Uddin, M. K.; Dowd, J. F.; Wenner, D. B. Krypton Tracer Test to Characterize the Recharge of Highly Fractured Aquifer in Lawrenceville, Georgia. *Proc. Georg. Water Resour. Conf.* **1999**, 516– 519.

(60) Le Borgne, T.; Bour, O.; Riley, M. S.; Gouze, P.; Pezard, P. A.; Belghoul, A.; Lods, G.; Le Provost, R.; Greswell, R. B.; Ellis, P. A.; Isakov, E.; Last, B. J. Comparison of alternative methodologies for identifying and characterizing preferential flow paths in heterogeneous aquifers. J. Hydrol. 2007, 345, 134–148.

(61) Labasque, T.; Aquilina, L.; Vergnaud, V.; Hochreutener, R.; Barbecot, F.; Casile, G. C. Inter-comparison exercises on dissolved gases for groundwater dating - (1) Goals of the exercise and site choice, validation of the sampling strategy. *Appl. Geochem.* **2014**, *40*, 119–125.

(62) Sugisaki, R.; Taki, K. Simplified analyses of He, Ne, and Ar dissolved in natural waters. *Geochem. J.* **1987**, *21*, 23–27.

(63) Aeschbach-Hertig, W.; Peeters, F.; Beyerle, U.; Kipfer, R. Palaeotemperature reconstruction from noble gases in ground water taking into account equilibration with entrapped air. *Nature* **2000**, *405* (6790), 1040–1044.

(64) Ayraud, V.; Aquilina, L.; Labasque, T.; Pauwels, H.; Molenat, J.; Pierson-Wickmann, A. C.; Durand, V.; Bour, O.; Tarits, C.; Le Corre, P.; Fourre, E.; Merot, P.; Davy, P. Compartmentalization of physical and chemical properties in hard-rock aquifers deduced from chemical and groundwater age analyses. *Appl. Geochem.* **2008**, *23* (9), 2686– 2707.